

28 MARCH 2022

INTERNAL AUDIT – AUDIT UPDATE

SUMMARY:

This report describes:

- The work carried out by Internal Audit since the last report;
- An update on the overall progress on the 2021/22 Audit Plan, and
- An update on outstanding audit issues from reports issued in 2019/20 & 2020/21.

RECOMMENDATION:

Members are requested to:

- i. Note the audit work carried out in Quarter 3 to date.
- ii. Note the update to the expected deliverables for Quarter 4.
- iii. Note the outstanding high-risk audit issues and engagement by the Services to address them.

1 Introduction

1.1 This report is to provide Members with:

- An overview of the work carried out by Internal Audit in Q3 2021/22 to date;
- An update on progress towards the Audit Plan for 2021/22;
- A schedule of work expected to be delivered in Q4; and
- An update on the outstanding audit issues from Internal Audit reports covering 2019/20 & 2020/21 focusing on the high-risk issues.

2 Overview of Work Carried Out in Q3 to date

2.1 Since the last report, Internal Audit continued to work with Heads of Service and Service Managers to action and update the outstanding audit issues from 2019/20 and 2020/21 Audit reports.

2.2 Internal Audit issued the audit report for Corporate Risk Management.

2.3 Internal Audit continues to audit the Voyager House Capital Project. However, fieldwork progress is slow, as the key staff involved have left the Council.

2.4 Internal Audit is also involved in the Union Yard Capital Project in a consultative capacity.

2.5 Wokingham Borough Council (WBC), under a s113 Agreement to conduct audits on behalf of Internal Audit, is finalising audits in Finance on Insurance and National Non-Domestic Rates (NNDR).

2.6 WBC has also commenced an audit in Finance on the Sales Ledger. The Audit Brief has been issued and fieldwork is underway.

2.7 The Interim Audit Manager has recently received the management responses from Finance for the Purchase Ledger (2020/21) and FMS & Bank

28 MARCH 2022

Reconciliations (2021/22) audits. These will be reported on in the next Committee meeting.

3 Progress towards the 2021/22 Audit Plan

3.1 Since the last update to the Committee, there have been no further changes to the 2021/22 Audit Plan.

3.2 The table below provides a summary of progress relevant to the 2021/22 Audit Plan:

Audit Status	Number of reviews	%
Finalised	4	22.2
Draft report with Auditee for management responses	3	16.7
In progress	3	16.7
Not yet started	1	5.5
Postponed to 2022-23	5	27.8
Cancelled	2	11.1
Total	18	100%

3.3 The table shows that 9 of the 11 audits (82%) to be delivered in 2021/22 are completed, being finalised or in progress. However, the remaining audit, on the CIPFA Financial Management Code, is unlikely to commence in Q4.

4. Audit Work Completed

4.1 The table below provides an overview of the assurance opinions, given to completed audits since the last update, based on Internal Audit’s assessment of the control environment:

Audit Title	Assurance Opinion	Recommendations by Priority		
		High	Medium	Low
2021/22 Internal Audit Plan				
Corporate Risk Management	Reasonable	2	4	0

4.2 The table above highlights one audit for the Assistant Chief Executive (ACE). The two high risk-rated issues relate to the risk management process and key person risk.

The issue with the risk management process is that its current structure and efficiency may not allow key risks to RBC to be identified and managed, as the risk identification process has not been consistent. Risks have been identified

28 MARCH 2022

based on service activities rather than by outcome or objective and common risks to services assessed inconsistently. Consequently, risks have been difficult to quantify and measure against performance. Management responded stating that the alignment of the Corporate Risk Register and Service Risk Registers with the Council's corporate and strategic objectives will take place following the agreement of the new Council Plan which is expected to be agreed in February 2022. The target date is June 2022.

The other high-risk issue is that the Corporate Risk Manager represents a key person risk, due to him being the only one with detailed risk management knowledge and due to his time being shared with three other significant corporate responsibilities. Management responded stating that the risk is recognised and work has already taken place to provide support and capacity as a result, including at times of absence. The ACE will however review functions and identify alternative resource to carry out key work in the event that the Corporate Risk Manager is unavailable and this will be undertaken as part of service planning for 2022/23. The target date is April 2022.

The other medium risk-rated issue of note relates to the framework and format of the management and reporting of risk management not lending itself easily to key risk identification and mitigation, which can affect decision-making, e.g., low risks are reported above high risks. Management responded stating that the format of the CRR has already been amended so that high risks as shown first. Work is also underway to migrate the risk management process to Microsoft Lists. This will include the display of both inherent and residual risk. The target date is June 2022.

5. Expected Deliverables for Q4 2021/22

5.1 The Audit Plan has been reviewed and updated. The work expected to be carried out and completed in Q4 is detailed within the table below:

Service	Audit/ follow up/descriptor	Status
Finance	Insurance – <i>A key financial system review looking at adequate coverage for RBC assets.</i>	Awaiting management responses and target dates
Finance	NNDR Billing, Collection & Recovery – <i>A key financial system review looking at business rates billing, collection & recovery.</i>	Fieldwork complete and draft report being finalised
Regeneration & Property	Voyager (Capital Project) –	In progress

28 MARCH 2022

	<i>A review of the regeneration project</i>	
Regeneration & Property	<i>Union Yard (Capital Project) – Audit is providing Project Assurance and will be working alongside the Project Manager</i>	In progress
Finance	<i>Sales Ledger – A key financial system review looking at debtors and recovery</i>	In progress
Finance	<i>CIPFA Financial Code – A key financial system review looking at compliance with the code</i>	Planned for Q4, although may not start until Q1 2022/23

6. Outstanding Audit Issues from 2019/20 & 2020/21

6.1 From a review of the Audit reports issued during 2019/20 & 2020/21, the following information was identified:

Year	# of Reports	# of Issues	# Implemented (@24/01/22)	% Implemented (@24/01/22)
2019-20	12	106	64 (62)	60 (58)
2020-21	12	118	60 (52)	51 (44)

6.2 Overall, there has been a slight increase in issues implemented for both audit years with auditees engaging with Internal Audit positively and promptly.

The management responses for the audits in Finance on the Purchase Ledger (2020/21) and the FMS & Bank Reconciliations (2021/22) have been received, but not in time for inclusion in this report. They will be reported on in the next Committee meeting in May 2022.

6.3 For 2019/20, of the 106 issues raised, 17 were high-risk and 8 (47%) have been implemented. This is unchanged per the previous report.

For 2020/21, of the 118 issues raised, 17 were high-risk and 7 (41%) have been implemented. This is unchanged per the previous report.

28 MARCH 2022

6.4 Details of the outstanding high-risk issues for the two years are attached in Appendix A below. They include management updates and, in some cases, revised target dates.

7. Recommendation

7.1 Members are requested to note the information provided within the report in relation to the audit work carried out in Quarter 3 to date, the expected deliverables for Quarter 4 and the outstanding high-risk audit issues from 2019/20 & 2020/21.

AUTHOR: David Thacker, Interim Audit Manager
07867 377484

david.thacker@rushmoor.gov.uk

HEAD OF SERVICE: David Stanley, Executive Head of Financial Services

References: *Internal Audit – Audit Plan* report, presented to the Committee on the 29th March 2021.

[Agenda for Corporate Governance, Audit and Standards Committee on Monday, 29th March, 2021, 7.00 pm - Rushmoor Borough Council](#)

28 MARCH 2022

APPENDIX A

OUTSTANDING HIGH-RISK AUDIT ISSUES

Year of Audit	2019/20		
Report	Key findings	Management response and agreed action	Action by whom and when
PCI DSS	<p>a) The Council are allegedly paying a fine as a result of not being fully compliant with PCI DSS standards. This is due to the card terminal within the Princes Hall Theatre not transferring Cardholder data securely to the in-house CAPITA 360 system. This could not be confirmed at the time of audit.</p> <p>b) There is no management or oversight of the alleged fine within the Council, with no one being able to provide details i.e. start date, monthly amount, expiry date or whether this was still ongoing.</p>	<p>AGREED The Council has been making a monthly payment to CAPITA since late-2018 in respect of "CAPITA PCI DSS ANNUAL MGMT FEE". Whilst the charge is relatively low (£10 per month) it is unclear what this fee covers.</p> <p>In the absence of any detailed knowledge or awareness across Finance and IT teams, the Executive Head of Finance will review.</p> <p><i>Update: a) The Council pays CAPITA an additional processing fee. There is no fine. Issue addressed.</i></p> <p><i>b) A project has been established to ensure the Council is PCI DSS compliant with options available depending on the way in which car payments flow through the Council's systems.</i></p>	<p>David Stanley, Executive Head of Finance 30/09/2022</p>

28 MARCH 2022

		<p><i>An independent assessment has been completed by a SAQ Assessor and the options detailed in the report are being analysed.</i></p> <p><i>It is unlikely the project will be completed until Q1/Q2 2022/23 given the complexity and IT solutions.</i></p>	
<p>Estates Management & Commercial Lettings</p>	<p>Acquisition Strategy</p> <p>It was confirmed in the Financial Borrowing audit 2019/20 that Finance are not always aware of forthcoming borrowing requirements</p>	<p>Sometimes opportunities to invest mean that borrowing requirements need to be reconsidered by Council. This follows discussions with the Executive Head of Finance regarding the levels and cost of borrowing in relation to opportunistic purchases.</p> <p><i>Update: Issues regarding Capital and Investment need to be considered in the light of the overall Capital Strategy, Treasury Management Strategy and Asset Management Plan. The need for a separate Capital and Investment Strategy given the Council will purchase for regeneration or invest into its existing Portfolio is now questioned. This will be reviewed following completion of the Asset Management Plan.</i></p>	<p>Tim Mills, Interim Head of Property, Estates & Technical Services 31/07/2022</p>
<p>Estates Management & Commercial Lettings</p>	<p>Information Sharing</p> <p>Prior to the Property and Estates Manager joining the council in March the graduate surveyor was the only</p>	<p>But now the knowledge sits with the P&E Manager and alternative means to manage this needs to be put in place, with a dedicated Admin role to do so.</p>	<p>Tim Mills, Interim Head of Property, Estates & Technical Services 14/04/2022</p>

28 MARCH 2022

	officer with full knowledge of how to manage the records management spreadsheet	<i>Update: Interviews completed and references being taken for preferred candidate for admin post. Service Support Officer also has knowledge so once Admin post in place no SPF.</i>	
Estates Management & Commercial Lettings	<p>Debt Write Off</p> <p>Audit were advised by the Sales Ledger team that a debt of £26,595 relating to one of the two tenants at Wellesley House was awaiting write off authorisation. However, the property team were unclear who had responsibility to do this and the process to follow for writing off/chasing debts was unclear.</p>	<p>The current procedures contain debt management within the Finance Team. This responsibility needs to be shared and properly communicated through clear and jointly owned information collected in a way that reflects property as a different kind of debt to others.</p> <p>See above</p> <p>Through proactive tenant management the situation has improved on the retained office part occupied in the building and as at Jan 2020 the tenant had caught up with the rental payments for that Ground Floor Office Suite only.</p> <p><i>Update: Executive Head of Finance to provide HoS with supplementary debt management guidance. Also, the asset is being sold but the right to pursue the debt is being retained.</i></p>	<p>Tim Mills, Interim Head of Property, Estates & Technical Services / David Stanley, Executive Head of Finance</p> <p>30/06/2022</p>
Estates Management & Commercial Lettings	<p>Aged Debt</p> <p>The 63.1% of the total debt (29/8/19), £142,238.36, has been outstanding for over 90 days.</p>	<p>As above.</p> <p><i>Update: Executive Head of Finance to provide HoS with supplementary debt management guidance. Also, regular</i></p>	<p>Tim Mills, Interim Head of Property, Estates & Technical Services/ David Stanley,</p>

28 MARCH 2022

		<i>benchmark data for rent collection is part of the quarterly monitoring report.</i>	Executive Head of Finance 30/06/2022
Estates Management & Commercial Lettings	Financial Monitoring There is currently no reporting on the performance requirement that the Council needs to achieve a net initial yield of approximately 5.25% to make an asset purchase financially viable. In addition, there is confusion as to whose responsibility it is to undertake this monitoring and reporting	<p>LSHIM is reporting on the Investment and Legacy portfolios to the PIAG There is no such reporting on the remainder of the Councils properties managed in house by the Estates Team. These do not sit comfortably within the same performance parameters or expectations as the Investment/Legacy Portfolios but still a means to measure and to report will be required to be developed.</p> <p><i>Update: Rent collection data is reported to PIAG for the nine LSHIM asset managed properties. The Concerto Property Management System will allow reports to be created to show the effective rates of return. 5.25% was re-evaluated by the Executive Head of Finance on a basis that reviewed the aggregate rate of finance taking into account the spread of borrowing rates across the debt portfolio such that assets with annual uplifts yielding more than a 4.25% yield was the amended return as part of the criteria in for 2019 and 2020 acquisition.</i></p>	Tim Mills, Interim Head of Property, Estates & Technical Services 30/06/2022

28 MARCH 2022

<p>Taxi Licensing</p>	<p>Due to the manual nature of inputting the data into the system this has highlighted a number of human errors. Ranging from not recording payments which have been made against accounts, to the incorrect amount being inputted and charged. Options should be considered to see if elements of the system could be more automated to minimise the potential for errors and have a more efficient process.</p>	<p>We recognise that the current systems and processes for Taxi Licensing are open to human error, due to the lack of automation available with the systems that we are working on. The errors identified have been corrected as far as possible, and changes have been made to the documents, processes and performance monitoring of the work to reduce the likelihood of errors, and to identify errors sooner. Longer term, we are reviewing the way that licences are processed, with a view to improving the technology to allow for more automation, therefore reducing the likelihood of human error, whilst improving the service to customers. We are undergoing continuous review, and where errors are identified, consideration is given to any process or system change that could be implemented to reduce the likelihood of the same error occurring. Since implementing this, we have seen a reduction in the error rate on the cases which are reviewed.</p> <p><i>Update: We have commenced the implementation of the Enterprise system into Licensing and this shall be completed within the next 3 months. This system will provide the mechanism to automate tasks, and to track tasks</i></p>	<p>Shelley Bowman, Principal Licencing Officer 30/06/2022</p>
-----------------------	---	--	---

28 MARCH 2022

		<i>which officers are required to do, and ensure that they do not close until the system is appropriately completed, and as a result reduce the opportunity for human error as previously identified.</i>	
Building Control Partnership	2010 Building Regulations Requirement Hart do not publish their surplus or deficit figures as is required by the 2010 Building Regulations	Look to resolve during negotiations on new Deed, referring to The Building (Local Authority Charges) Regulations 2010. Review of Regs to agree how the Partnership adheres to the requirement. <i>Update: The figures have been received through another route. However, the issue continues to be pursued with writing to the finance team as well as pursuing through their Head of Finance.</i> <i>21/12/21: No further updates and so will be escalated higher. Revised target date suggested.</i>	Martin Hopley, Building Control Partnership Manager 31/01/2021 Revised to 31/03/22
S106 SANGS	a) There should be a plan in place as to how the funds are properly utilised and regular meetings to monitor this. This needs to include a plan of how to utilise monies that the Council may currently hold over 5 years or determine to repay sums. This would ensure that all monies that have been paid to the Council are utilised appropriately and prevent Developers successfully requesting funding back.	Recommendation agreed. As part of the process of compiling the register and cleansing data any sums held for over 5 years will be identified. Regular meetings will be held between the Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing, Planning and finance will be held quarterly starting in September	Tim Mills, Head of Economy, Planning and Strategic Housing 30/11/2021 Revised to 30/06/22

28 MARCH 2022

	<p>b) There should be knowledge of who manages this fund. There should be regular meetings to discuss the value to enable full oversight.</p>	<p>All sums will be allocated to specific officers and teams and monitored through the quarterly meetings.</p> <p><i>Update: This process is ongoing due to the extent of the work. Additional funds have been identified over 5 years. The focus is on ensuring expenditure and developing proposals for an officer who will deliver these projects funded from s106, which will be considered by ELT shortly and it is anticipated this will be agreed.</i></p> <p><i>21/12/21: Infrastructure Funding Statement completed for 19/20 and will be published on web prior to 31/12/21 deadline. Work ongoing to identify potential spend. Revised target date suggested.</i></p>	
--	---	--	--

28 MARCH 2022

OUTSTANDING HIGH-RISK AUDIT ISSUES

Year of Audit			
Year of Audit	2020/21		
Report	Key findings	Management response and agreed action	Action by whom and when
Alderwood Leisure Centre	It is unclear to identify if payments have been received for all of the bookings made as clear payment records are not held on the EZ facility system and unclear narratives on the Integra code.	EZ confirm certain aspects can be tied into RBC system. Nigel Swan emailed for guidance 30/11/21.Meeting with Alex Shiell 6/01/2021 to discuss requirements to integrate the two systems. <i>Update: This is a system connectivity issue. However, until a solution is found, a manual workaround is in place.</i>	Chris Beckett, ALC Manager 31/03/2022
Application Patch Management	IT are in the process of documenting processes, although application change management/patching has not yet been covered. When this is documented both overall and system specific arrangement need to be addressed. Linked to this further investigation needs to be carried out regarding systems where there is limited user testing of changes (see findings below, on roles and responsibilities). Specific to Express future changes need to be applied to test and tested/signed off, prior to migration to live. Retain evidence for future nontrivial changes/patches applied. This should aim to capture:	The council has a small IT team and tends to focus its limited resources on key line of business applications support. There are plans to recruit a service delivery manager to improve consistency of application support. It should be noted that key systems e.g. payments, payroll, revenues and benefits, business rates are well supported, including the use of external support companies – to ensure applications are patched and upgraded to the correct level. The regulatory services applications e.g GIS/LLPG, Confirm and Uniform have dedicated IT staff employed to carry out	Nick Harding, Head of ICT, Facilities & Projects 30/10/2021 Revised 31/07/2022

28 MARCH 2022

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Identification of change/patch, i.e. what was applied. • Evidence of testing (unit, integration and user, as applicable) and outcomes. • Sign offs/approval. <p>Longer term a Configuration Management Database (CMDB) could be investigated, to store this information in a structured manner.</p> <p>IT are in the process of increasing the IT Team, specifically recruiting additional staff to support changes to applications, including bringing back in-house changes currently processed by third parties; this should continue as planned.</p> <p>Batching is a symptom of current under resourcing and reliance on third parties. While a pragmatic approach is sensible, current batching levels are excessive. How batching is approached needs to be covered in documentation (i.e. assessment and decision for each change) and, as far as possible, minimised.</p>	<p>upgrade works and maintain the systems to the correct level.</p> <p>It is agreed that the exception is the financial system, which is of ongoing concern. There are plans for a major review planned for 2021 as part of the ICE programme.</p> <p>On Risk, the over-reliance point is more a management prioritisation issue both for Heads of IT and Finance.</p> <p><i>Update: Change Management – Jul 2022 as dependent on a new service desk system that incorporates Change. UAT – April 2022.</i></p> <p><i>Asset Management – new solution trial aimed to complete during Q4 2021/22</i></p> <p><i>All other recommendations are ongoing.</i></p>	
<p>Application Patch Management</p>	<p>Locate/put in place fit for purpose contracts for all systems. At a high level these should:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Be up to date/in date. • Refer/link to current legislation. • Set out performance expectations, ideally quantified. • Set out support arrangements/response times. • Set out a realistic level of reporting, to confirm that performance/support expectations are being met. 	<p>Disagree – this is in medium priority and not a priority at this time/ the ICE and C19 projects have a higher priority. If additional resources are provided this task could be agreed.</p> <p>Many of the Council’s line of business applications have been in place with suppliers for many years and over time contracts have not been reviewed as the</p>	<p>Nick Harding, Head of ICT, Facilities & Projects 31/12/2022</p>

28 MARCH 2022

	<p>For example, annual/quarterly reports, against contract expectations.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Identify a realistic level of oversight, for example, periodic calls/meetings with account managers. 	<p>systems and support have been satisfactory. The council have good ongoing working and support arrangements, so contracts have only been reviewed as services have decided to change applications. If for any reason, we needed to request an up-to-date contract from a supplier this would be possible. Given the amount of work involved we have not been able to allocate resources from IT to Legal to carry this task.</p> <p><i>Update: Review of contracts status by March 2022. Reviews with suppliers between Nov 2021 and April 2022. Where agreed re-negotiation needs to take place – throughout 2022</i></p>	
<p>Contract Management Follow Up</p>	<p>There is no Contract Management corporate framework in place to provide guidance for Contract Managers, Procurement and management to:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> Assess the level of contract management required, e.g. formal, ad-hoc, 'light touch'; Assess the risk to the business, e.g. financial, Health and Safety, reputational, business continuity, etc; To re-assess the level of contract management as the contract becomes established and client relationships evolve; Set out the requirement of recording meeting minutes / contract issues / progress, including the need for a standard template; 	<p>The New Constitution with the Contract Standing Orders, which covered the elements highlighted in the recommendation, went to Cabinet in May and was agreed. The Policy and Project Advisory Board (PAB) were consulted on the Council's Procurement Strategy 2020-2024 at their meetings in November 2019 and June 2020. A final draft of the Procurement Strategy was considered by Cabinet in August 2020. Training and Guidance will be produced for all officers involved in the procuring of services following the adoption of the</p>	<p>Principal Procurement Officer 30/06/2022</p>

28 MARCH 2022

	<p>e) Set out the steps to take if a contractor's performance / quality of delivery is inadequate, e.g. KPIs.</p> <p>f) Set out the process for the review / monitoring of continual contracts, e.g. HAGS/SMP Ltd, those contracts with expiry dates and any action required, e.g. PHS;</p> <p>g) Set out the process for adding on to the Contract Register;</p> <p>h) Set out the process when handing over an on-going contract to a new manager to oversee, e.g. PHS.</p> <p>i) Set out the reporting requirements to senior management and Members</p>	<p>revised Contract Standing Orders and Procurement Strategy.</p> <p><i>Update: Procurement responsibility changed September 2021 with Portsmouth CC (PCC) providing support. Head of Finance and Asst CEX to review Q1 2022</i></p>	
<p>Contract Management Follow Up</p>	<p>There is no corporate guidance that sets out the clear roles and responsibilities for the Contract Managers, Procurement and management</p>	<p>The updated Contract Standing Orders (CSO) sets out the roles and responsibilities. However, training on the update CSO will be given in the next few months so that contract managers are aware of their roles and responsibilities.</p> <p><i>Update: Procurement responsibility changed September 2021 with PCC providing support. Head of Finance and Asst CEX to review Q1 2022</i></p>	<p>Principal Procurement Officer 30/06/2022</p>
<p>Disabled Facilities Grants Follow Up</p>	<p>Two quantity surveyors are exclusively used (with one particularly favoured- BJC Design with payments in 2018/19 of c.£46k). The Contract Standing Orders 'requirement of aggregation' are not applied in assessing contract requirements.</p>	<p>The Procurement Officer is working with Property Services to set up a separate framework agreement for surveyors and to advertise for new surveyors to be 'procured' and vetted. The Procurement Officer confirmed this will go out to competition for acquiring new</p>	<p>Hilary Smith, Private Sector Housing Manager 31/03/2022</p>

28 MARCH 2022

		<p>contractors/surveyors (see also recommendations 5 and 6 above).</p> <p><i>Update: Working with PCC to understand where they can support this process.</i></p> <p><i>4Jan22: Still awaiting an update from PCC.</i></p>	
--	--	---	--